

**Missouri Department of Natural Resource
Regulatory Impact Report
For
Proposed Amendment to 10 CSR 10-5.300**

Division/Program: Air and Land Protection/Air Pollution Control

Rule number: 10 CSR 10-5.300 Rule title: Control of Emissions From Solvent Metal
Cleaning

Type of rule: Amendment

Nature of the rule: Prescribes environmental standards
Administrative

Submitted by:

Program Director

Date

Approval of the Completed Regulatory Impact Report

Legal Counsel

Date

Division Director

Date

**Missouri Department of Natural Resource
Regulatory Impact Report
For
Proposed Amendment to 10 CSR 10-5.300**

1. Does the rulemaking adopt rules from the US Environmental Protection Agency or rules from other applicable federal agencies without variance?

No.

2. A report on the peer-reviewed scientific data used to commence the rulemaking process.

The proposed rule amendment development does not require review of peer-reviewed scientific data because the purpose of the rulemaking is to clarify enforcement and compliance issues related to the substantive requirements in the current rule. The proposed rule amendment neither tightens nor or relaxes current substantive requirements.

3. A description of the persons who will most likely be affected by the proposed rule, including persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rule and persons that will benefit from the proposed rule.

This proposed rule amendment would most likely affect and benefit automotive and aerospace manufacturers and their subsidiaries, and the solvent recovery services industry in the St. Louis Metropolitan Area. Small businesses are not effected.

4. A description of the environmental and economic costs and benefits of the proposed rule.

The department's Air Pollution Control Program anticipates no environmental and economic costs associated with the proposed rulemaking. This proposed rule amendment is expected to lower costs and improve environmental compliance by addressing industry concerns about provisions in the current rule related to enforcement and compliance.

5. The probable costs to the agency and to any other agency of the implementation and enforcement of the proposed rule and any anticipated effect on state revenue.

The program does not expect this agency or any other public agency will incur additional costs to implement and enforce this proposed rulemaking. The proposed rulemaking will have no effect on State revenue.

6. A comparison of the probable costs and benefits of the proposed rule to the probable costs and benefits of inaction, which includes both economic and environmental costs and benefits.

Since this rulemaking is a clarification of enforcement and compliance issues in the current rule, there is no means to measure the difference in environmental costs or benefits when comparing this rulemaking to inaction.

7. A determination of whether there are less costly or less intrusive methods for achieving the proposed rule.

Not applicable. Proposed rule amendment is not expected to have any associated costs and does not propose any new requirements.

8. A description of any alternative method for achieving the purpose of the proposed rule that were seriously considered by the department and the reasons why they were rejected in favor of the proposed rule.

This amendment is the alternative to the current rule that was considered in negotiations with the department's regional offices, the U.S. Environment Protection Agency and affected industries.

9. An analysis of both short-term and long-term consequences of the proposed rule.

By analyzing both short-term and long-term consequences, the program determined that if the proposed rule amendment is not promulgated, industry would continue to have concerns about provisions in the current rule related to enforcement and compliance.

10. An explanation of the risks to human health, public welfare or the environment addressed by the proposed rule.

Since this rulemaking is only a clarification of the existing rule, the program does not anticipate any change in risks to human health, public welfare, and/or the environment associated with this proposed rulemaking.

11. The identification of the sources of scientific information used in evaluating the risk and a summary of such information.

Not applicable.

12. A description and impact statement of any uncertainties and assumptions made in conducting the analysis on the resulting risk estimate.

Not applicable.

13. A description of any significant countervailing risks that may be caused by the proposed rule.

None known.

14. The identification of at least one, if any, alternative regulatory approaches that will produce comparable human health, public welfare or environmental outcomes.

This amendment is the alternative to the current rule.

15. Provide information on how to provide comments on the Regulatory Impact Report during the 60-day period before the proposed rule is filed with the Secretary of State.

Formal comments can be provided on either the Regulatory Impact Report or the draft rule text by sending them to the contact listed below.

Questions and/or comments may be sent to:

Chief, Operations Section
Missouri Department of Natural Resources' Air Pollution Control Program
P.O. Box 176
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0176

or

Missouri Air Conservation Commission
PO Box 176
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0176

or

call: (573) 751-4817

16. Provide information on how to request a copy of comments or the web information where the comments will be located.

Copies of formal comments made on either the Regulatory Impact Report or the draft rule text may be obtained by request from the contact listed above or by accessing the Rules In Development section at Web site www.dnr.mo.gov/alpd/apcp/homeapcp.htm for this particular rulemaking.